1	PAUL J. PASCUZZI, State Bar No. 148810		
2	JASON E. RIOS, State Bar No. 190086 THOMAS R. PHINNEY, State Bar No. 159435		
3	FELDERSTEIN FITZGERALD WILLOUGHBY PASCUZZI & RIOS LLP		
4	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2250		
	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 329-7400		
5	Facsimile: (916) 329-7435 Email: ppascuzzi@ffwplaw.com		
6	jrios@ffwplaw.com tphinney@ffwplaw.com		
7	ORI KATZ, State Bar No. 209561		
8	ALAN H. MARTIN, State Bar No. 132301 AMANDA L. COTTRELL, TX Bar No. 24064972 [admitted pro hac vice]		
9	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTO A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations	IN LLF	
10	Four Embarcadero Center, 17 th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4109		
11	Telephone: (415) 434-9100		
12	Facsimile: (415) 434-3947 Email: okatz@sheppardmullin.com		
13	amartin@sheppardmullin.com		
14	Attorneys for The Roman Catholic Archbishop o	of San Francisco	
15	UNITED STATES BA	ANKRUPTCY COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO	ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
17	In re	Case No. 23-30564	
18	THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP	Chapter 11	
19	OF SAN FRANCISCO,	DECLARATION OF AMANDA L.	
20	Debtor and Debtor in Possession.	COTTRELL IN SUPPORT OF DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION TO THE OFFICIAL	
21		COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS' MOTION FOR AN ORDER	
22		AUTHORIZING DISCLOSURE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW BOARD	
23		MINUTES AND AGGREGATED CLAIMS DATA	
24		Judge: Hon. Dennis Montali	
25		Date: March 13, 2025 Time: 1:30 p.m.	
26		Place: In-Person Hearing: Courtroom 17	
27		450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16th Floor San Francisco, CA	
28			

I Amanda Cottrell, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a partner of the law firm Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP ("Sheppard Mullin"). I am an attorney licensed to practice law in all Courts of the State of Texas, and I was admitted *pro hac vice* by this Court in the above-captioned matter. I am also recently admitted to practice law in California, Bar Number 360215. Sheppard Mullin is co-counsel of record for The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco ("RCASF" or the "Debtor").
- 2. The matters stated herein are true and correct and are within my personal knowledge or information provided to me by other attorneys or employees of Sheppard Mullin, and if called upon to testify as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 3. This declaration is made in support of the *Debtor's Opposition to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors' Motion for an Order Authorizing Disclosure of Aggregated Claims Data* (the "Motion"). I give capitalized terms not defined here the same meaning given to them in the Opposition to the Motion.
- 4. Attached to this declaration as <u>Exhibit 1</u> are true and correct copies of excerpts from the transcript of the first Section 341 meeting, on September 28, 2023, in the above-captioned matter.
- 5. Attached to this declaration as Exhibit 2 are true and correct copies of excerpts from the transcript of the continued Section 341 meeting, on October 12, 2023, in the above-captioned matter.
- 6. As co-counsel for the Debtor, I participated in and worked with attorneys at Sheppard Mullin with respect to the Committee's discovery requests, including meeting and conferring with the Committee in response to the Committee's Rule 2004 document subpoena requests. By February 2024, I was engaging in discussions with Committee counsel regarding potential production of IRB minutes, including meetings to discuss the scope of redactions and feasible timelines for production. The IRB minutes were part of "Stage Two" of the Committee's Rule 2004 document subpoena requests, specifically Request for Production No. 39. These negotiations continued through spring 2024, with the Committee raising IRB production during discovery calls.

For example, on May 15, 2024, the Committee's email correspondence to me listed multiple categories of requested documents, including "Files regarding alleged abuse regardless of the name appearing on a proof of claim (including IRB minutes)" and "Documents related to past treatment of abuse claims." By mid-June 2024, the parties had reached agreement on a framework for production of redacted IRB materials.

- 7. The parties reached agreement that the Debtor would produce certain IRB documents, which would be designated as Confidential under the Protective Order, and based on that confidentiality protection, the Debtor would make very limited redactions. Accordingly, on July 10, 2024, the Debtor produced 1,261 pages of documents (Bate stamped DEBTOR_072669 through DEBTOR_073929) that were designated as Confidential under the Protective Order. This Bates range represents the entire IRB production in this case. Each of the binders produced is preceded by a detailed Privilege Log, which is also marked as Confidential. The Motion omits the first privilege log in the production (72669 and begins at 72680 with the first production document). The Motion also ignores that certain redactions were applied to withhold attorney-client communication.
- 8. Attached to this Declaration as <u>Exhibit 3</u> is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the July 18, 2023 Hearing Transcript on the Motion for An Order Authorizing and Approving Special Notice and Confidentiality Procedures and Motion to Set Bar Date, before the Honorable William J. Lafferty, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California Oakland Division, in the Chapter 11 matter of *In re: The Roman Catholic Bishop of Oakland*, Debtor, Case No. 4:23-BK-40523.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 27th day of February 2025, at Dallas, Texas.

Cas

_

Case No. 23-30564

EXHIBIT 1

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 4 of 42

CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT

ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF SAN FRANCISCO MEETING OF CREDITORS SEPTEMBER 28, 2023



1 2 3	CEDRIC:	listen-only mode during today's Q&A session. If you'd like to ask a questions, please press star, then one. And I'd like to turn today's (inaudible) to your host, Mr. Jason Blumberg. Thank you, you may begin.
4 5 6 7 8	BLUMBER	Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco. That's case number 23-30564. This case was filed on August 21, 2023. Today's date is September 28, 2023. It's approximately 10:14 a.m. My name is Jason Blumberg. I'm a Trial Attorney with the Office of the United States Trustee.
9 10 11 12 13 14		This meeting is required under Section 341(A) of the Bankruptcy Code. The purpose of the meeting is to allow for an examination of the debtor under oath. Questions may include but are not limited to why the case was filed, the operation of the business, and the prospects for the organization. I will initially question the debtor the some degree. Creditors will also have an opportunity to examine the debtor.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		As a reminder, the meeting is being digitally recorded. Please remember that the recorder cannot see who you are or your head nodding so please identify yourself when asking a question and please remember to give verbal responses, which can be picked up by the recorder. The recorder works best when only one person is speaking at a time so please allow for questions to be completed before answering and please wait for answers to be completed before asking follow-up questions. Whenever you are not speaking, please mute your line to prevent background noise. We keep the recording for two years after case closure. If anyone would like to obtain a duplicate of today's proceeding or a transcript, the arrangements are made to the Office of the United States Trustee.
25 26 27 28 29 30		Before we proceed with the 341 meeting, I'm going to take a few minutes to explain what this meeting is and how it will proceed. As I mentioned, this meeting is being conducted under Section 341 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in conjunction with the bankruptcy case currently pending before the United States Bankruptcy Court in the Northern District of California. As such, it is expected that every participant will conduct themselves in a manner appropriate for a legal proceeding.
31 32 33 34		Preliminarily, the statutory purpose of the meeting is to allow creditors the opportunity to ask questions of the debtor under oath. If you don't have any questions for the debtor's representatives, you may stay on the line and listen, but you also may drop off the call at any time.
35 36 37 38		The representatives of the debtor are Archbishop Cordileone, Father Patrick Summerhays, and Joseph Passarello. By court order, Father Summerhays has been designated as the debtor's responsible individual in this case. Mr. Passarello is the debtor's senior financial director. He signed the debtor's schedules and statements.
		MEETING OF CREDITORS eLitigation Services, Inc. 2

of 42

www.elitigationservices.com

310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

This meeting is an opportunity for creditors to ask questions about the debtor's general financial affairs. If you have concerns about your specific claim or situation, this is not the appropriate time to express those concerns. This is a legal proceeding with the debtor's representatives testifying under oath about the debtor's financial affairs.

To ensure there's enough time for everyone to ask questions, please keep questions concise and avoid asking repeat questions. While I understand that many people's claims arose under very troubling and painful circumstances, this is not the place to address specific claims. To the extent you need additional information about the debtor this bankruptcy case, you should visit the courts electronic docket or the debtor's claims agent website on the Agent Solutions.

Information on the claims agent website is available to you free of charge and will updated as additional information becomes available. The website address is https://omniagentsolution.com/rcasf. You should also carefully review any filings or notices you receive to preserver your rights.

The examination today will conducted as if it were in court. This means that only representatives will answer any questions. If a represented does--representative, excuse me, does not know the answer, then the answer will simply be, "I don't know." If the, excuse me, if the purpose of the meeting is being frustrated by anyone's conduct, then I'll stop the meeting.

Of note the following rules need to be followed. First, the same question should not be asked repeated times, even by different people. It is, therefore, important that you listen to each question and each answer and not ask the same question again. Second, only one person may ask questions of the representatives at one time. Third, questions can only be asked of the representatives. It's not appropriate to speak to anyone other than the debtor's representatives. Fourth, if the representatives do not know the answer to a question, please do not ask the question again, including by asking it in a different way. Fifth, the person asking the question should not be combative or engage in personal attacks.

Now that the purpose and rules of the meeting have been established, the order of this meeting will be as follows. I will take the appearance of the debtor and counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors. I will, then, permit the repexcuse me, I will then put the representatives of the debtor under oath. I will, then, permit the debtor's counsel and the archbishop to make an opening statement. A statement is not mandatory. I will, then, permit counsel for the official committee of unsecured creditors committee, excuse me, counsel for the official committee of unsecured creditors to make its own opening statement if it chooses. After that, I will ask questions of the debtor's representatives. Please listen carefully to all my

MEETING OF CREDITORS | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

1 2 3 4		questions. If I've already asked a question, you don't need to repeat the question. After I conclude my questions, I will invite members of the creditors committee to ask questions. I will invite counsel for the creditors committee to ask questions if they have any.
5 6 7 8 9 10		And then after that, I will open the line for any other creditor to ask a question during a group question-and-answer period. Please do not indicate that you wish to ask a question until I announce that the question-and-answer portion of the meeting has commenced. Once I announce the general question-and-answer period, that is has started, so to speak, if you do wish to ask a question, you must provide your full name, with spelling, prior to speaking. Please note, if you do not identify yourself, I may have to ask the operator to mute your line.
12 13		The operator, Cedric, is there anything specific that creditors need to do during the question-and-answer period to indicate that they wish to ask questions?
14	CEDRIC:	Yes, that would be star one.
15 16 17	BLUMBEF	Star one. So when we get to the question-and-answer period, if you do wish to ask a question, you'll hit star one on your phone. We'll repeat that instruction at that time but just keep that in mind.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		So if everyone cooperates and there are no repeat questions, this call may be able to be concluded today. However, we will not require the representatives to provide testimony today for more than four hours. If the meeting is not concluded at the four-hour mark, we will discontinue the meeting, and I will decide whether we will schedule a continued meeting of creditors in the future for additional questioning. The notice of the new date and time will be on the website Seth Worth, on the claims agent website, which, again, is https://omniagentsolutions.com/rcasf. It will also be on the case docket for this case.
26 27		Okay, and so with that out of the way, will the debtor's attorney please make his appearance for the record?
28 29	PASCUZZ	I: Good morning, Mr. Blumberg. This is Paul Pascuzzi, Felderstein Fitzgerald Willoughby Pascuzzi & Rios for the debtor.
30 31	BLUMBER	RG: Good morning, Mr. Pascuzzi. And will counsel for the creditors committee please make their appearances?
32 33 34	STANG:	Good morning. James Stang, S-t-a-n-g, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones, counsel for the creditors committee, subject to appointment, pursuant to an employment application that will be filed shortly.
35 36	BLUMBER	RG: And is there anyone else, Mr. Stang, from your office making an appearance today?
		MEETING OF CREDITORS eLitigation Services, Inc. 4

www.elitigationservices.com

310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

of 42

ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF SF | SEPTEMBER 28, 2023

- 1 STANG: No.
- 2 **BLUMBERG:** Okay.
- 3 **STANG:** There are attorneys from my office who are listening in today, but I don't expect
- 4 that they will be making any statements on the record.
- 5 **BLUMBERG:** Thank you. Archbishop Cordileone, are you on the line, sir?
- 6 **CORDILEONE:** Yes, I am.
- 7 **BLUMBERG:** Good morning.
- 8 **CORDILEONE:** Good morning.
- 9 **BLUMBERG:** Would you please raise your right hand and let me know when you're
- doing that.
- 11 **CORDILEONE:** Yes, I am.
- 12 **BLUMBERG:** Do you swear or affirm that you will tell the truth, the whole truth, and
- only the truth?
- 14 **CORDILEONE:** I do.
- 15 **BLUMBERG:** Do you understand that you are testifying under penalty of perjury?
- 16 **CORDILEONE:** I do.
- 17 **BLUMBERG:** Is there any reason why you can't give your best testimony today?
- 18 **CORDILEONE:** No.
- 19 **BLUMBERG:** Thank you. I will note for the record that prior to the meeting I received a
- copy of the archbishop's driver's license, which appears to be in order. Archbishop.
- 21 to the best of your knowledge, was that a true and correct copy of your driver's
- 22 license?
- 23 **CORDILEONE:** Yes.
- 24 **BLUMBERG:** And, Mr. Pascuzzi, can you confirm that this is, in fact, the Archbishop,
- 25 the representative of your client on the line?
- 26 **PASCUZZI:** Yes.
- 27 **BLUMBERG:** Thank you. Father Patrick Summerhays, are you on the line, sir?
- 28 **SUMMER:** I am.
- 29 **BLUMBERG:** Good morning.

MEETING OF CREDITORS | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 9

ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF SF | SEPTEMBER 28, 2023

1	SUMMER: Good morning.		
2	BLUMBERG: doir	Would you please raise your right hand and let me know when you're ag that?	
4	SUMMER: Yea	h, I'm doing that.	
5 6	BLUMBERG: only	Do you swear or affirm that you will tell the truth, the whole truth, and the truth?	
7	SUMMERHAY	S:Yes.	
8	BLUMBERG:	Do you understand that you are testifying under penalty of perjury?	
9	SUMMERHAY	S:Yes.	
LO	BLUMBERG:	Is there any reason why you can't give your best testimony today?	
l1	SUMMERHAY	S:No.	
12 13 14 15		I will note for the record that prior to meeting I received a copy of Father amerhays' driver's license, and it appears to be in order. Father Summerhays, to best of your knowledge, was that a true and correct copy of your driver's use?	
L 6	SUMMERHAYS: Yes, it is		
L7 L8	BLUMBERG: Sum	And, Mr. Pascuzzi, can you confirm for the record that you recognize Mr. nmerhays as the representative of your client?	
L9	PASCUZZI: Y	es, Father Summerhays is sitting right next to me. I recognize him.	
20 21	BLUMBERG:	Thank you. And, Father Summerhays, would you please state your full the for the record?	
22	SUMMER: My full name is Patrick John Summerhays.		
23	BLUMBERG:	And what is your position as it relates to the debtor?	
24 25	SUMMER: I am the Vicar General and Moderator of the Curia for the Archdiocese of San Francisco.		
26	BLUMBERG:	Thank you. And, Mr. Joseph Passarello, are you on the line, sir?	
27	PASSARELLO:	Yes, I am.	
28 29	BLUMBERG: kno	Good morning, sir. Would you please raise your right hand and let me w when you're doing that?	

MEETING OF CREDITORS | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

5

PASSARELLO:

30

I am doing that.

pending in the joint coordinator proceeding in Alameda County. There were two cases set for trial on August 23. Mediation efforts prior to trial were unsuccessful. Based on the information available now, these AB 218 claims are historical claims. The dates of alleged abuse range from the 1950s to approximately 2008. Nearly half involve clergy members who were previously accused in the last reopening of the statute of limitations in 2003 and/or were clergy members that are long since deceased.

We say that because it's important to the Archbishop and the debtor that this abuse stops and that the procedures are in place to ensure that it doesn't happen again. The archdiocese is and has been, prior to the bankruptcy filing, committed to ensuring the safety of all children. For over 20 years the archdiocese's policies have gone above and beyond the requirements of--and recommendations of the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops Charter to protect children from abuse and to provide healing for those who have been harmed, including providing resources, both monetary and nonmonetary, for survivors. Through education and awareness, continuous improvement of policies, proactive measures to prevent abuse, and accountability efforts, the archdiocese has demonstrated its commitment to the safety of all those who are part of the community, especially children.

And while no amount of money can adequately compensate survivors for the harms they've suffered, the archdiocese and its insurers have paid more than \$70 million over the past 20 years to survivors, either directly or by funding group settlement funds, to fulfill its responsibility for the abuse by diocesan clergy. At this time, however, the archdiocese has determined that it has neither the financial means nor the practical ability to litigate the multitude of abuse claims on multiple timelines while still serving the Catholic community. So to ensure the archdiocese fulfills both its foundational and morale obligations to the survivors, the faithful, and others who have put their trust in the archdiocese, it made the difficult decision to commence this bankruptcy case.

A large number of these diocesan Chapter 11 cases have allowed religious institutions and non-profit organizations who are debtors to emerge from Chapter 11 with a plan acceptable to survivors, to address and compensate both monetarily and nonmonetary survivors, while continuing to serve their respective constituents. The archdiocese desires a similar outcome in this bankruptcy case and is and will continue to be working diligently toward that end.

Thank you.

BLUMBERG: All right, thank you, Mr. Pascuzzi. Mr. Stang, would you like to make a statement on behalf of the committee?

MEETING OF CREDITORS | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

1 2 3	STANG:	Thank you. Archbishop Cordileone, my name is Jim Stang. I'm a principal of the law firm Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones that the committee selected to serve as bankruptcy counsel.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Has U.S. Trustee alluded, committee is made up of adults who were sexually abused as children by people for whom the archdiocese is responsible. Neither the committee members nor any of the constituents in the committee wanted the archdiocese to file bankruptcy. It was done without consultation with the constituency or the state court lawyers representing those people. And committee members feel that taking their cases away from the California state court system and bringing them in to a federal bankruptcy court is a fundamental violation of their rights to be heard before a jury. So we will perform our duties as outlined by the bankruptcy code and as required by the court in this reorganization, but this is not something that the survivors wanted.
14 15 16 17 18		Archbishop, the committee understands recently that you're out of town, out of the country, but it is disappointed that you don't have enough time in your schedule today to hear from them, to hear their questions, and to respond to their questions. We appreciate that you will be making time soon to do just that in what we hope is a continued first meeting of creditors.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		And while Father Summerhays was designated as the responsible individual for the debtor, and he is testifying today and he is available for the entire meeting, that was done before the committee was appointed, it was done without consultation to the state co-counsel, who have cases pewho had cases pending in the civil court, and there certainly was no opportunity to be heard before Judge Montali. And my point, Archbishop, about Father Summerhays, and it's no criticism of Father Summerhays, he and I have not gotten to know each other yet, but Calithe Archdiocese of San Francisco, is a corporation sole. And to put it in real layperson's terms, Archbishop, you're the guy.
28 29 30 31 32		I have represented close to tw20 sexual abuse committees in different Chapter 11 cases, and I have learned over the course of the 20 years that I have done that that there will be a settlement in this case if you want there to be a settlement in this case and a more personal involvement is essential to any progress in the case and the accomplishment of the goal of protection of children.
33 34 35 36		So it is not the intention of the committee to ask Father Summerhays any questions or, and I'mI'm sorry if I'm mispronouncing his name, Mr. Passarelli [sic], and, of course, you'reyou're moving out to another meeting, but we look forward to the opportunity to do it when you're available.
37 38		I wasn't intending to say anything about the particulars of your statements because, of course, I didn't know what you were going to say, but there are two things that I
		MEETING OF CREDITORS eLitigation Services, Inc.

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 12

www.elitigationservices.com

310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

of 42

1 2 3 4		want to know. You talked about the Church setting a standard for child protection, this archdiocese is the only archdiocese in the State of California, I'm sorry, diocese in the State of California that has not published a list of credibly accused priests. It has published a list of priests in good standing, but it has not done what every other		
5 6		archdiocese has done. And that will be the subject of questions when we get to meet again.		
7 8	The second thing that I wanted to know, and this is where I'll leI'll stop, is Mr. Pascuzzi, who I know from our involvement into Diocese of Stockton and who I			
9 10 11 12 13		have very high regard for from our professional relationship over the many years, said that the archdiocese and its insurers have spent \$70 million in settlements over the course of some years. A question that I'll be asking at the next meeting is how much was spent in legal defense funds and how much was spent on therapy provided by the archdiocese for survivors.		
14 15 16 17 18		So, Mr. Blumberg, I appreciate the opportunity to make the statement and to highlight at least those two points that I noted from the statements that Mr. Pascuzzi and Archbishop Cordileone made. And the committee will perattend this meeting, we intend to listen very carefully to the testimony, but we will not be asking any questions.		
19	BLUMBER	RG: All right.		
20	STANG:	Thank you.		
21 22 23 24 25	BLUMBER	MBERG: Thank you, Mr. Stang. I thank you for your statement on behalf of the committee. So now I'm going to switch over to really what I would regard as very bankruptcy-specific, case-specific schedules and statement-specific questions. And, for that, my questions will now turn to Father Summerhays and, in particular, Mr. Passarello.		
26 27	So, Mr. Passarello, you attended the initial debtor interview atwith my office, is that correct, sir?			
28	PASSARE	LLO: Yes. Yes.		
29	BLUMBER	RG: II would		
30	PASSARE	LLO: It was a call in.		
31 32 33 34 35	BLUMBER	It was a call in, that's correct. II will just note for the record that there are a number of documents that my office requested that the debtor is still trying to obtain. I would request that, unless an earlier date has been provided by the bankruptcy analysts for the United States Trustee, that all those documents be provided to my office by no later than October 6.		

MEETING OF CREDITORS | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

12

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the audiotape labeled 9-28-23 JB Recording.

10-4-23 Date Brittany Baynes Printed Name

Brittany Baynes Signature

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 14

of 42

EXHIBIT 2

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 15 of 42

CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT

MEETING OF CREDITORS FOR THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF SAN FRANCISCO

DATE: OCTOBER 12, 2023



BLUMBERG: All right. So, this is the continued meeting of creditors for the Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco. That's Case No. 23-30564. This case filed on August 21, 2023. Today's date is October 12, 2023. It's approximately 9:05 a.m. My name is Jason Blumberg. I'm a trial attorney with the Office of the United States Trustee. This meeting is required under §341(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. The purpose of the meeting is to allow for an examination of the debtor, under oath. Questions may include, but are not limited to why the case was filed, the operation of the business, and the prospects for reorganization. I will initially question the debtor. Creditors will also have the opportunity to examine the debtor.

As a reminder, this meeting is being digitally recorded. Please remember that the recorder cannot see who you are or your head nodding, so identify yourself when asking a question, and please remember to give verbal responses which can be picked up by the recorder. The recorder works best when only one person is speaking at a time, so please allow for questions to be completed before answering, and wait for answers to be completed before asking follow-up questions. Whenever you are not speaking, please mute your line to prevent background noise. We keep the recording for two years after case closure. If anyone would like to obtain a duplicate of today's proceeding or a transcript, the arrangements are made through the Office of the United States Trustee.

Now, before we proceed with the continued 341 meeting, I'm going to take a few minutes to explain what this meeting is and how it will proceed. As I mentioned, this meeting is being conducted under §341 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in conjunction with the bankruptcy case currently pending before the United States Bankruptcy Court in the Northern District of California. As such, it is expected that every participant will conduct themselves in a manner appropriate for a legal proceeding. Preliminarily, the statutory purpose of the meeting is to provide creditors with the opportunity to examine the debtor under oath. If you do not have any questions for the debtor's representatives, you may stay on the line and listen. You may drop off the call at any time, though. The representatives of the debtor are Archbishop Cordileone, Father Patrick Summerhays, and Joseph Passarello. By court order, Father Summerhays has been designated as the debtor's responsible individual in this case. Mr. Passarello is the debtor's senior financial director. He signed the debtor's schedules and statements.

The meeting is an opportunity for creditors to ask questions about the debtor's general financial affairs. If you have concerns about your specific claim or situation, this is the not the appropriate time to express those concerns. This is a legal proceeding, with the debtor's representatives testifying under oath about the debtor's financial affairs. To ensure that there is enough time for everyone to ask questions, please keep questions concise and avoid asking repeat questions. While I understand that many people's claims arose under very troubling and painful

October 12, 2023 | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

circumstances, this is not the place to address specific claims. To the extent you need additional information about the debtor of this bankruptcy case, you should visit the court's electronic docket or the debtor's claim's agent website. Information on the claim's agent website is available to you free of charge and will be updated as additional information becomes available. The website address is https://omniagentsolutions.com/rcasf. You should also carefully review any filings or notices you receive to preserve your rights.

The examination today will be conducted as if it were in court. This means that only the representatives will answer questions. If a representative does not know the answer, then the answer will simply be, "I don't know." If the purpose of the meeting is being frustrated by anyone's conduct, then I may stop the meeting. Of note, the following rules should be followed and need to be followed today. First, the same question should not be asked repeated times even by different people. It is, therefore, important that you listen to each question and each answer and not ask the same question again. Second, only one persons may ask questions of the representatives at a time. Third, questions can only be asked of the representatives. It is not appropriate to speak to anyone other than the representatives. Fourth, if the representatives do not know the answer to a question, pleases do not ask the question again, including by asking it in a different way. Fifth, the person asking the question should not be combative or engage in personal attacks.

Now that the purpose and the rules of the meeting have been established, the order of the meeting will be as follows. I will appearance of counsel for the debtor and counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors. I will then put the representatives of the debtor under oath. I will then permit the debtor's counsel and the Archbishop to make an opening statement, if they so choose. A statement is not mandatory. I then may ask questions of the debtor's representatives. Please listen to all my questions, if I ask any. If I've already asked a question, you don't need to repeat the question. After I conclude my questions, I will ask members of the Creditor's Committee if they wish to ask questions. After that period concludes, I will ask all creditors, any creditors, if they wish to ask questions, and then finally, I will ask if counsel for the Creditor's Committee wishes to ask any questions. So, please don't indicate that you wish to ask a question until I announce that the question and answer session has begun. Once I announce that that general question and answer period has started, if you do wish to ask a question, you must provide your full name, with spelling, prior to speaking. Please note, if you don't identify yourself, I will ask the operator to mute your line. Sandy is the operator. I believe when we get to that section, what you would do is you would press *1, but we'll come back to that as we proceed.

If everyone cooperates and there are no repeat questions, this call may be able to be concluded today. I anticipate that it will be concluded today because this is a

October 12, 2023 | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 18

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	continued meeting. However, we will not require the representatives to provide testimony for more than three hours. If the meeting is not concluded at the three-hour mark, we will discontinue the meeting, and I will decide whether I will schedule a continued meeting of creditors in the future for additional questioning. As I noted though, because this is the continued meeting, it is unlikely that this meeting will be continued. But if the meeting is continued, the notice of the new date and time will be on the website set forth on the claim's agent website, which again, is https://omniagentsolutions.com/rcasf, also on the court docket for this case.		
10 11		All righ record?	t. Could I ask the debtor's attorney to please make his appearance for the
12 13 14	PASCUZZ	debtor t	morning, Mr. Blumberg. This is Paul Pascuzzi, bankruptcy counsel for the he Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, and also here is Paul, special litigation counsel approved by the court from Weintraub Tobin.
15 16	BLUMBER		Good morning. May I ask counsel for the Official Committee of red Creditors to make his appearance as well?
17 18	STANG: Good morning. James Stang S-T-A-N-G, Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl & Jones, counsel to Official Creditors Committee.		
19	BLUMBER	RG:	Good morning. Father Patrick Summerhays, are you on the line, sir?
20	SUMMERI	HAYS:	Yes, I am.
21	BLUMBER	RG:	Good morning to you.
22	SUMMERI	HAYS:	Good morning.
23 24	BLUMBER	RG: doing th	Would you please raise your right hand and let me know when you're nat?
25	SUMMERI	HAYS:	I am doing that.
26 27	BLUMBER	RG: only the	Do you swear or affirm that you will tell the truth, the whole truth, and truth?
28	SUMMERI	HAYS:	I do.
29	BLUMBER	RG:	Do you understand that you are testifying under penalty of perjury?
30	SUMMERI	HAYS:	I do.
31	BLUMBER	RG:	Is there reason why you can't give your best testimony today?
32	SUMMERI	HAYS:	No.

October 12, 2023 | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

- And would you mind just stating your full name for the record? **BLUMBERG:** 1
- **SUMMERHAYS:** My full name is Patrick John Summerhays. 2
- And you are the same Father Summerhays who signed the petition in this 3 **BLUMBERG:**
- 4 case, correct, sir?
- That is correct. 5 **SUMMERHAYS:**
- Mr. Passarello, are you there sir? 6 **BLUMBERG:**
- 7 **PASSARELLO:** Yes, I am.
- 8 **BLUMBERG:** Would you please raise your right hand and let me know when you are
- doing that, sir? 9
- **PASSARELLO:** I am doing that. 10
- **BLUMBERG:** Do you swear or affirm that you will tell the truth, the whole truth, and 11
- only the truth? 12
- 13 **PASSARELLO:** Yes, I do.
- 14 **BLUMBERG:** Do you understand that you are testifying under penalty of perjury?
- **PASSARELLO:** Yes, I do. 15
- 16 **BLUMBERG:** Is there any reason why you can't give your best testimony today?
- 17 **PASSARELLO:** No, there is not.
- **BLUMBERG:** And would you please state your full name for the record? 18
- 19 **PASSARELLO:** Joseph James Passarello, Jr.
- **BLUMBERG:** And sir, are you the same Mr. Passarello that signed the schedules and 20
- statements in this case? 21
- Yes, I am. 22 **PASSARELLO:**
- Thank you. Archbishop Cordileone, are you there, sir? 23 **BLUMBERG:**
- 24 **CORDILEONE:** Yes, I am.
- **BLUMBERG:** Would you please raise your right hand and let me know when you are 25
- 26 doing that?
- 27 **CORDILEONE:** I'm doing that.
- **BLUMBERG:** Do you swear or affirm that you will tell the truth, the whole truth, and 28
- only the truth? 29

October 12, 2023 | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 20 1 **CORDILEONE:** I do.

2 **BLUMBERG:** Do you understand that you are testifying under penalty of perjury?

3 **CORDILEONE:** I do.

4 **BLUMBERG:** Is there any reason why you can't give your best testimony today?

5 **CORDILEONE:** No, there is not.

6 **BLUMBERG:** And sir, would you please state your full name for the record?

7 **CORDILEONE:** Salvatore Joseph Cordileone.

8 **BLUMBERG:** Thank you. Mr. Pascuzzi, all the debtor's representatives are in the same

room with you, sir?

10 **PASCUZZI:** That's correct.

11 **BLUMBERG:** Okay. So, I would ask that when someone asks a question to the debtor's

representatives, that they ask -- rather answer the questions based on their own

knowledge, and if they are going to seek guidance or some kind of reference from

another source, that they clearly disclose that for the record. So, that means that

there shouldn't be any notes being passed back and forth unless that's noted for the

record.

9

12

14

17 Mr. Pascuzzi, would you like to make an opening statement today, sir?

18 **PASCUZZI:** No, but the Archbishop would.

19 **BLUMBERG:** Okay. Archbishop, would you like to make an opening statement, sir?

20 **CORDILEONE:** Yes, I would.

21 **BLUMBERG:** Okay. Please go ahead, sir.

22	CORDILEONE: Thank you. And thank you for arranging a time to speak with me at
23	greater length. I wish to repeat that I am in full agreement with Pope Francis who
24	has called the sexual abuse of a minor monstrous, noting that even one such case
25	perpetrated by a member of the clergy would be abhorrent. In hearing the harm and
26	confusion these acts of abuse have caused in the lives of innocent children and their
27	families, the stories of abuse survivors are stories of stolen innocence. Having sat
28	with victims and listened to their stories, I'm always moved and deeply saddened.
29	These acts have no place in any society, and especially within a church where there
30	should be a greater sense of security and compassion. Pope Francis has exhorted all
31	his brother Bishops to shoulder the weight of these past sins. I pray every day for
32	continued healing for all survivors in hopes that they find the peace they deserve.
33	Around the time I was appointed as Bishop, the issue of clergy sexual abuse became

October 12, 2023 | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com 6

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 21

of 42

a national story. The revelation of these abominable acts within the church over previous decades had a lasting impact on how I approach the responsibility of being first a Bishop and now an Archbishop. I have striven to maintain an unwavering commitment to fighting sexual abuse of minors and helping the church atone for the sins of the past perpetrated by her ministers.

At the heart of our outreach to abuse survivors, is creating a welcoming environment and providing compassion and assistance, which includes a variety of counseling, spiritual direction and other healing services. I have appointed three diligent and serious people who spend all their time at the Archdiocese on managing different aspects of our safe environment department. These professionals engage in regular education, background screening and fingerprinting of employees and volunteers who work with minors. The Archdiocese of San Francisco has, in fact, established policies and protocols to protect children and address and reports incidents of sexual abuse of minors even before the U.S. bishops adopted the Charter for the Protection of Children and Young People in 2002.

Our reporting process is straightforward. Cases of abuse in which the alleged abuser and the abuse survivor are of the same household are reported to Child Protective Services. Allegations of abuse of minors by clerics, church employees or volunteers are first reported to civil authorities and then to the Archdiocesan Victim Assistance coordinator. Every allegation is treated seriously, and immediate steps are taken to protect the rights of both the alleged abuse survivor and the alleged abuser. We have taken exhaustive steps to satisfy the Charter by immediately keeping out of active ministry any minister accused of sex abuse of a minor while an investigation or canonical trial is pending. And we would remove permanently from ministry any priest for which the statable evidence abuse has been determined.

We require criminal background checks for clergy employees and volunteers who work with youth, and we implement educational programs for both children and adults to prevent abuse. We maintain an Independent Review Board, which we refer to as the IRB, as an essential step in internal procedures or handling allegations of sexual abuse. A qualified investigator conducts investigations into allegations and submits a report to the IRB whose members include an abuse survivor, psychologist, two physicians, and a retired police officer. These are experts in their respective fields with unique experiences that I do not possess, so I heavily rely on their expertise. They make recommendations as to whether there is sufficient evidence to warrant a canonical trial or if, on the other hand, an accusation is manifestly unfounded. Their recommendations are indispensable to me in helping to determine the best course of action, including when injustice we should remediate damage to the reputation of a priest who has been wrongly accused. I have always followed their guidance.

October 12, 2023 | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

The Archdiocese also has an Office of Child and Youth Protection to maintain the highest standards for its Preventative Safe Environment Program and address allegations of past and current abuse by any clergy, employee, or volunteer. We employee safe environment coordinators to monitor compliance with the Charter. In addition, a victim assistance coordinator maintains a hotline for reporting abuse, provides counseling, and offers other supportive services. The office is also responsible for coordinating the fingerprinting of employees, volunteers, and clerics who interact with children, as well as facilitating annual compliance audits conducted by independent auditors to review the implementation of policies and procedures for the protection of children.

As I indicated previously, I am grateful to my predecessors and other leaders in the Archdiocese who have worked hard to put effective educational and preventative measures in place, as I am encouraged by the fact that occurrences of abuse within the Catholic church are now very rare. I believe the church has set the standard today for other organizations showing what can and should be done to protect our children.

After listening carefully to the opening remarks of the Committee's attorney in last month's call, I want people listening to know that filing for Chapter 11 bankruptcy was not our first choice. We work very hard to settle cases, just as we successfully did in 2003. We started, as we did in 2003, by looking at settling small groups of cases. But with more than 500 cases, and the skyrocketing financial demands made by several plaintiff attorneys, we realized that it was financially impossible to settle cases. Without the financial means to meet the demands that were being placed upon us, we were left with no choice but to file for Chapter 11, and we signaled to the attorneys in advance that we were strongly considering a Chapter 11 filing. We realize that the bankruptcy process does not provide abuse survivors with the opportunity to tell their stories as they would be able to in a trial setting. I recognize that this is an important part of the healing process, as I have sat and listened to the powerful stories shared by abuse survivors, including those survivors who serve us so well on our Independent Review Board. I know first hand the courage and resilience shown by these individuals in the face of so much suffering. While we recognize that financial remuneration alone will not compensate for all the suffering caused, we do believe the Chapter 11 bankruptcy process is the best solution for providing timely, fair, and equitable compensation to the innocent abuse survivors who have been harmed. The process is transparent and under the supervision of bankruptcy court. It brings all parties to the table, including our insurance carriers, and works to resolve difficult claims collectively, rather than one at a time. It eliminates a scenarios where the first few cases that are resolved extend all available resources to pay claims leaving nothing for survivors whose cases are resolved later. The Chapter 11 process also allows the Archdiocese to reorganize,

> October 12, 2023 | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

8

1 2 3 4 5		to continue its vital ministries to the faithful and to the communities that rely on its services and charity. We will work to emerge from Chapter 11 as quickly as possible to provide a faster, more equitable resolution for abuse survivors, provide them with fair compensation and, hopefully, some level of closure and peace. Thank you.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BLUMBER	Thank you, Archbishop. This is Jason Blumberg again from the United States Trustee's office. Next, at this point, we are going to turn to the question and answer period, and this first question and answer period will be directed or permitted or will be directed again at members of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors. Sandy, the operator on the line, can you please give instructions about how the committee members will indicate that they wish to ask questions?	
13 14 15	SANDY:	Yes. If they'd like to ask a question, please unmute your phones, record your name clearly when prompted, and your name is required to introduce your question. You will need to press *1 to do this.	
16 17 18	BLUMBER	And I would also ask that, in addition to that, when your line is opened up, that you state your name again for the record and that you spell it, just so that we have a good record in the event that there's a transcript.	
19 20 21 22 23	SANDY:	Just one moment, please. The person that just asked to speak for the *1, I'm going to remove your selection, and please come back, but this time unmute your phone and record your name, please. Once again, if you'd like to ask a question, please press *1, unmute your phone and record your name. All right. Our first question comes from Margie O'Driscoll. [phonetic] You may go ahead, ma'am.	
24 25	O'DRISCO	Thank you. Archbishop, I'm Margie O'Driscoll, and I serve as the co-chair of the survivor's committee.	
26 27	BLUMBER	G: Ms. O'Driscoll, I'm sorry to interrupt you. Could I just ask you to spell your name for the record just so we have it? I apologize.	
28 29	O'DRISCO	L: Yes. My legal name is Margaret M-A-R-G-A-R-E-T, and my last name O'Driscoll O-D-R-I-S-C-O-L-L.	
30	BLUMBER	G: Thank you. Please go ahead.	
31 32 33 34 35 36	O'DRISCO	Archbishop, I'm Margie O'Driscoll, and I serve as co-chair of the Survivor's Committee, however, I speak to you today as an individual. Archbishop, I've heard you tell the story that you received your calling to become a priest while at a college retreat. My last experience at a Catholic retreat was when one of the priests from my Catholic high school attempted to rape me when I was 16. Our difference experiences at a retreat shape both of our lives, and it has brought you	

October 12, 2023 | eLitigation Services, Inc. 9

310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

www.elitigationservices.com

somebody. These complaints are within the universe of accusations that could be 1 sent to the Internal Review Board? 2 **CORDILEONE:** 3 Yes. **STANG:** Okay. So, before something goes to the Internal Review Board, is there someone 4 5 who makes a determination as to the credibility of the claim? Because it sounded 6 like you were -- it suggested to me that someone's looking at this to determine if it's manifestly unfounded, I think was the phrase you used earlier in your statement. Is 7 there someone screening that before it goes to the Internal Review Board? 8

- 9 **CORDILEONE:** It automatically goes to the Internal Review Board and going through our legal counsel, or victim's assistance coordinator, the usual first point of contact.
- STANG: So any accusation of child sex abuse that comes into the Archdiocese goes to the Internal Review Board? There's no filter before it goes to them; is that correct?
- 13 **CORDILEONE:** Correct.
- STANG: So, you said that in the 11 years of your service as the Archbishop, you've not yet received a credible accusation against a priest. Did I get that right?
- 16 **CORDILEONE:** So far, correct.
- 17 **STANG:** Okay. I'll try to keep it up. Since you became Archbishop, how many investigation -- how many cases have been submitted to the Internal Review Board?
- 19 **CORDILEONE:** Let's say there's been accusations in which we've had to conduct an investigation, maybe seven, I believe, maybe eight. Seven I could think of offhand.
- 21 **STANG:** Okay. I'm sorry, Archbishop. I have to come back to something I was asking you about earlier. You just said "that we've had to conduct," "investigations that we've had to conduct." My understanding is that whatever accusation comes in goes to the Internal Review Board.
- 25 **CORDILEONE:** Yes.
- STANG: So -- okay. I want to make sure that that -- your comment about how to conduct it implies some kind of filter before it went to them.
- 28 **CORDILEONE:** When I say "conduct an investigation," "we conduct an investigation," I mean the Independent Review Board discusses it and then hires a professional investigator to conduct the investigation. That's what I -- that's what I mean when I say "we conduct the investigation." I mean it's under the direction of the
- 32 Independent Review Board.
- 33 **STANG:** Got it. And who is the "we" in that "we conduct the investigation?"

October 12, 2023 | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

CORDILEONE:

30

31

1	CORDILE	ONE: The Independent Review Board. So, an accusation is made.
2	STANG:	Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8	CORDILE	ONE: Some official in the chancery office, it could be our legal counsel, victim's assistance coordinator, as I had said, and then we review it, present it the Independent Review Board and, again, if the facts at least line up, then the priest informed he has to stay out of ministry while we conduct the investigation. "We" being the internal Independent Review Board advised me that an investigation needs to be conducted.
9	STANG:	Okay.
10	CORDILE	ONE: And then the Board will identify an investigator to do that.
11 12	STANG:	Got it. All right. Thank you. That's helping me understand the process a little better. Who appoints the members of the Internal Review Board?
13	CORDILE	ONE: I do.
14 15 16	STANG:	And of the, I think there are five members, if I remember correctly, who has had the short who is the shortest seniority, I mean, how recently is the last appointee appointed?
17	CORDILE	ONE: Oh, I think it's I think two years ago.
18	STANG:	Got it.
19	CORDILE	ONE: Is it okay if I ask someone in the room who may know the answer?
20	STANG:	Sure. Thank you.
21 22	CORDILE	ONE: Yeah, that's right. I was reminded. There's one who just started recently this year.
23 24	STANG:	And who is that? By the way, their names are public. They're on your website, so I'm not invading anyone's privacy by asking you that.
25	CORDILE	ONE: His name is Paul. He's the survivor on the
26 27	STANG:	Right. All right. I know his last name. So, thank you. Does the Internal Review Board have any role in reviewing the child protection policies of the Archdiocese?
28	CORDILE	ONE: Yes.
29	STANG:	And how often does the Internal Review Board review those policies?

October 12, 2023 | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

29

We would review those policies usually after we're audited, and if there

are suggestions that are made, we would review it then. For example, one thing

CORDILEONE: Yes.

2 3 4 5	STANG:	Okay. There were a lot of questions that I asked last time about whether the Archdiocese maintains a list of credibly accused priests. Do you have can you provide me today a definition of what this Archdiocese how this Archdiocese defines "credibly accused?"
6 7 8	CORDILE	ONE: As you know, that's not a category in law, so different I've heard different theories as to what "credibly accused" means. I try not to use that term and rather use a term "sustained" or "not sustained."
9	STANG:	Does the term I'm sorry, Archbishop.
10	CORDILE	ONE: No, go ahead.
11	STANG:	I apologize. I thought you were
12	CORDILE	ONE: Go ahead.
13 14 15 16	STANG:	Well, does the Internal Review Board, when they report to you the outcome of an investigation, you said there have been some during your tenure, what do they say? Do they say "This accusation is sustained," "This accusation is not sustained?" Is that how the phrase their report to you?
17	CORDILE	ONE: Yes.

- **STANG:** Okay. Does the Archdiocese have a list of clergy who are -- where the Internal 18 Review Board has made a determination that the accusation is sustained? 19
- 20 **CORDILEONE:** We know which ones those are. Yeah, we have our own lists.
- **STANG:** Okay. Is it important to the Catholic community of the Bay area that there be a 21
- consistent standard treatment of abuse claims, say example Santa Rosa, Oakland, or 22
- San Francisco. Do you think it's important that there be a standard approach to how 23
- abuse claims are addressed? 24
- 25 **CORDILEONE:** Well, within the church we do have a standard approach.
- 26 **STANG:** Okay.
- **CORDILEONE:** We have the, you know, policies of the Charter for the Protection of 27 Children and Young People. Our Dioceses follow those procedures. 28
- **STANG:** Right. So, the Diocese of Santa Rosa publishes, and I don't know if they use the 29 term "sustained" or "credibly accused." I'll stick with your terminology. They have 30 published -- I'm sorry, it has published a list of sustained -- subjects of sustained 31 accusations. The Diocese of Oakland has done that, and I may have said it while 32
- 33 you were still on the phone last time, every Diocese in California has done it except

October 12, 2023 | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 31

310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 27

for the Archdiocese of San Francisco. Can you tell me why the Archdiocese of San

Francisco has not published the list of sustained -- a list of the names of those who 2 have sustained accusations? 3 We publish a list of the names of priests and deacons in good standing **CORDILEONE:** 4 5 with faculties in the Archdiocese. So, if anyone has a question about someone presenting himself as a priest or a deacon, they can check the list to see if that name 6 is on the list. 7 **STANG:** I understand that list exists, and I've looked at it. Can you tell me why the 8 Archdiocese of San Francisco has not published the names of those who are the 9 subject of sustained accusations? 10 **CORDILEONE:** I don't -- nobody's given me a reason why -- what -- a reason for doing so. 11 The most important thing is that our young people are being protected and that 12 those who abuse are kept out of ministry. We're doing that. 13 **STANG:** I agree with you that that is if not the most important thing, very close to the most 14 important thing. But if I were the subject of sexual abuse by a priest in the 15 Archdiocese, do you think it is relevant at all to my healing to know whether the 16 Archdiocese has sustained accusations against my perpetrator? 17 **CORDILEONE:** Yes. 18 STANG: How do I know that? 19 20 **CORDILEONE:** The name is not on our list of priests and deacons in good standing. And I 21 haven't been involved in these investigations in the past. If I were to be in an investigation now, certainly the survivor would be informed of that. 22 **STANG:** 23 If my perpetrator were dead, he wouldn't be on the list, would he? 24 **CORDILEONE:** That depends. **STANG:** 25 Well, the ministers who are in good standing that you publish are all alive, aren't 26 they? Oh, that list. That's correct. 27 **CORDILEONE:** So, I wouldn't know because my perpetrators are deceased. I wouldn't know if any 28 STANG: accusation had been sustained against him? There's a question mark at the end of 29 that sentence. 30 **CORDILEONE:** Oh, I see. A survivor could obtain that information by contacting our 31 victim assistance coordinator. 32

> October 12, 2023 | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

Signature

- 1 I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the audiotape labeled
- 2 10-12-23 JB RECORDING.

3

410/31/2023	Carol Holmes
5 DATE	Printed Name
6	
7	Carn Hames

8 9

> October 12, 2023 | eLitigation Services, Inc. www.elitigationservices.com 310.230.9700 • els@elitigationservices.com

58

EXHIBIT 3

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 30 of 42

```
1
1
                      UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
                     NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
 3
                                   -000-
 4
    In Re:
                                    ) Case No. 4:23-bk-40523
                                    ) Chapter 11
 5
    THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF
    OAKLAND
                                    ) Oakland, California
 6
                                      Wednesday, July 19, 2023
                         Debtor.
                                      1:30 PM
 7
                                      MOTION FOR AN ORDER
8
                                      AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING
                                      SPECIAL NOTICING AND
 9
                                      CONFIDENTIALITY
                                      PROCEDURES (DOC. 6). CONT'D
                                      FROM 5/9/23, 5/23/23, 6/6/23,
10
                                      6/20/23, 7/18/23
11
                                      MOTION OF THE DEBTOR FOR AN
12
                                      ORDER ESTABLISHING DEADLINES
                                      FOR FILING PROOFS OF CLAIM
13
                                      AND
                                      GRANTING RELATED RELIEF (DOC.
                                      181). CONT'D FROM 7/18/23
14
15
                        TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
                 BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM J. LAFFERTY
16
                      UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
17
    APPEARANCES:
    For the Debtor:
                                 ANN M. UETZ
18
                                  MARK C. MOORE
                                  MATTHEW D. LEE
19
                                  Foley & Lardner LLP
                                  555 California Street
20
                                  Suite 1700
                                  San Francisco, CA 94104
21
                                  (415)434-4484
    For the Creditors:
22
                                 GABRIELLE ALBERT, ESQ.
                                  Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP
2.3
                                  650 California Street
                                  Suite 1900
24
                                  San Francisco, CA 94108
                                  (415)496-6723
25
```

			2
1	For the Creditors:	JEFFREY D. PROL COLLEEN M. RESTEL BRETT WEISENBERG	
3		Lowenstein Sandler LLP 1 Lowenstein Drive Roseland, NJ 07068	
4		(973)597-2500	
5	For the Creditors:	MARK D. PLEVIN Crowell & Moring LLP	
6 7		3 Embarcadero Center 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	
8		(415)365-7446	
9	For the Creditors:	TANCRED SCHIAVONI EMMA JONES O'Melveny	
10	For the Trustee: JAS Un Ju	JASON BLUMBERG, ESQ. United States Department of Justice	
11			
12 13		501 I Street Suite 7-500 Sacramento, CA 95814	
14		(916)930-2100	
15			
16			
17	Court Recorder:	NASH SINGH	
18 19		United States Bankruptcy Court 1300 Clay Street Oakland, CA 94612	
20		Oakland, CA 94012	
21	Transcriber:	RAVEN WOOD eScribers, LLC	
22		7227 N. 16th Street Suite #207 Phagring 77,05020	
23		Phoenix, AZ 85020 (800) 257-0885	
24	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript provided by transcription service.		
25		-	

	3	
1	OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, JULY 19, 2023, 1:32 PM	
2	-000-	
3	(Call to order of the Court.)	
4	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. Calling line	
5	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 2:37 PM)	
6	item number one for the Roman Catholic Bishop of Oakland. Case	
7	Number 23- 40523.	
8	THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we do appearances on this	
9	side of the room first.	
10	MS. UETZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Ann Marie Uetz	
11	of Foley & Lardner for the debtor.	
12	MR. MOORE: Mark Moore, Foley & Lardner on behalf of	
13	the debtor.	
14	THE COURT: Okay.	
15	MS. ALBERT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Gabrielle	
16	Albert, on behalf of the Creditors Committee. And I have with	
17	me Jeff Prol, Bert Weisenberg, and Colleen Restel.	
18	THE COURT: Okay.	
19	MS. ALBERT: And Ms. Restel will be taking over the	
20	argument today on behalf of the committee.	
21	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.	
22	MR. PLEVIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Mark Plevin	
23	on behalf of Continental Casualty Company.	
24	MR. SCHIAVONI: Your Honor, Tancred Schiavoni from	
25	O'Melveny for Pacific. And my colleague, Emma Jones.	

```
4
1
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
             MR. SCHIAVONI: Also from O'Melveny.
 2
             THE COURT: And on the screen, the Zoom folks. Go
 3
 4
    ahead.
 5
             MR. LEE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Matt Lee of
    Foley & Lardner, appearing for the debtor.
6
7
             THE COURT: Okay.
8
             MR. BLUMBERG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jason
9
    Blumberg for the United States Trustee.
             THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else speaking? No.
10
             THE CLERK: These are the only appearances for our
11
12
    case.
13
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, there may be a
    colleague of mine on it. But I've told them not to appear
14
15
    because he's not going to speak.
             THE COURT: Okay. Gee, what's new? Right? I
16
    listened to some of the hearing this morning, although I had to
17
18
    leave before anything decreed happened. So I, for the record,
    I offered to put this off. Everybody needs to go get a
19
20
    sandwich or something that I was told that it was more
    important that we start and end so people could make airport
21
22
    schedules and such. So I'm deferring to you guys on that one.
    Okay? All right. So I think we have two big categories to
23
24
    talk about, right?
25
             MS. UETZ: Hopefully, yes.
```

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 34 of 42

49 MS. UETZ: We will resubmit with that revision. 1 THE COURT: I Appreciate it. Okay. What else did we 2 3 have? MS. UETZ: I have nothing further for Your Honor 4 5 today. 6 THE COURT: How about the confidentiality issues we 7 talked about yesterday? 8 MS. UETZ: We await your ruling on that, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: You want it now? 10 MS. UETZ: Please. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you all for your very good 11 arguments. What I find difficult about this is -- and I 12 13 sometimes tell people who work with me that the hard part of this job is rarely the answer. The answer, once you figured 14 15 out the question, the answer is usually pretty obvious. 16 not the hard part. The hard part is squaring the question so that if people don't appear to be talking about the same thing, 17 18 you can come up with an approach that acknowledges that, gives appropriate respect and deference to arguments that are really 19 20 sometimes ships passing in the night in some ways for a whole 21 bunch of reasons, and come up with something that is 22 notwithstanding responsive to the issues raised. This is one 23 of those situations in the sense that there are there is a seminal ninth Circuit case that I think you cannot read it 24 25 other than to say it assumes certain things that might

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 35 of 42

otherwise need to be proven.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Which is what, number one, is there any intellectual or doctrinal competition anymore between what's set forth in Section 107 and other common law doctrines and other sources of protection for privacy or reputational matters? And what the Ninth Circuit seemed to say is no, 107 is 107. It covers the field. It also says there are remarkable things that certain kinds of accusations are, by their nature, scandalous. So therefore, when we find those, we're going to be protective. It doesn't say exactly where and how you have to find them, which is part of the problem. That case, as I think Mr. Weisenberg told me eloquently yesterday, and he's right, is a case in which there were specific references to specific There was testimony, and there were things that documents. emanated from the testimony that clearly checked every box. So in that sense, it was a relatively easy case for the Ninth Circuit.

And let me pause there and say that is one avenue to explore and to think about when we're trying to figure out whether it's appropriate to grant the relief that the debtor is asking for. And I'll come back to the relief in a second. The other is clearly there is overlap here in that these issues emanate from a different system. That is a system by which the California legislature has taken some care to be protective in its own right. And there are protocols, and there are

regulations, and there are safeguards there in that I don't want to disrespect, but I'm not entirely sure to what extent they're necessarily relevant. And I'll come back to that in a second, because what the Ninth Circuit seems to tell me as we're talking about what happens in a bankruptcy case. This is your lane, Judge. So in some ways, this is a little discordant. It feels odd that I would not be perhaps more invested in what the State courts are going to do than I think I might need to be. But there it is. I think that's -- the Father M case sort of leaves that is an open question.

I take Mr. Weisenberg's point that the Father M case certainly focused on documents, and accusations, and very specific sets of difficult facts that are not presented in exactly the same way here. I don't find that to be an impediment because -- and I if I'm overstating this, this would be argument one in somebody's appeal -- there is simply so much this is such a known quantity now. Unfortunately, this problem has been percolating around the country, unfortunately. There are thousands of individuals who've been horribly impacted by bad acts. Now, further, unfortunately, there are some individuals who've been accused of those bad acts whose liability is unknown and highly uncertain. So that leads to a lot of uncertainty about what this is about.

And there's no doubt what this is about. This is

about whether naming somebody publicly in the context of a bankruptcy case, in the context of somebody says they were molested by that person, sufficiently falls under what the Court was worried about in Father M. In my instinct is it does, because there just is no doubt what this is about. And there's no doubt in my mind as to how that would cause reputational damage. The very fact that -- I mean, it's somewhat poignant that one of these individuals, when accused of that, maybe their lawyer would have told them, for heaven's sake, don't say anything. And that was that was something they couldn't avoid doing. They couldn't avoid saying, I never and this is outrageous, et cetera, et cetera.

So the first point is to the level of evidentiary support. I think that is overcome by a realistic assessment of what the controversy is here, and what the debtor's looking for. Which is simply to protect a couple of names in a situation where it's clear what the accusation is, although the details are not necessarily in front of us yet. And it's even

clear what the reputational affect that would be. What I think the Ninth Circuit's also telling me, at least implicitly, is we're telling you how to act in the bankruptcy case, Judge. This is a court of extremely limited jurisdiction, and it's not your job to tell state courts what to do. It's not your job to tell parties who are not in front of you what to do. It is your job to protect within the context of your case privacy,

confidentiality, protections against dissemination of potentially scandalous information.

So that leads me to think that is something I need to look at independently. So I think that leads me to the conclusion that I should grant the motion. I will come back in a second -- I'll come back right now, to what is the effect of the information having been made public. My instinct is I may well, as I think you use the phrase, I may be informed by something that the State court does. And at the moment, what I understand is from the debtors perspective and certainly from the accused press perspective, that dissemination, to the extent it was even in official pleadings, was wrongful. I went back and had a look at what the proposed corrective action is, the proposed corrective action is a little bit ambiguous to me because I think it's a motion to seal and how the State court will look at a motion to seal after fact, I don't know.

But they may say all kinds of things that are very interesting, but one way or the other, that is for them to fix and they'll fix it whatever way they want. If that if anybody thinks that fix changes the result that I'm coming to today, they can tell me that that. That I'm over my skis or whatever the right term would be. That I've done something that is overbroad or is unnecessary because the State courts indicated some relief is appropriate there. And I I should, if not be precluded by that, I should be informed by that. I'm open to

Case: 23-30564 Doc# 1028-1 Filed: 02/27/25 Entered: 02/27/25 12:47:09 Page 39 of 42

that. And they'll do whatever they're going to do. But I do think that the father case is instructive to me that, with respect to this system, I should keep that information confidential. And the fact that it has been disseminated to some degree doesn't change that for me, because I think the further dissemination in the course of something is otherwise public as a bankruptcy case and potentially notorious as a bankruptcy case has its own detriment. And I'm going to agree to that, at least indirectly, that is what the Father M case tells me.

So all of this is now, I will concede something that Mr. Weisenberg also said, which is this is a little bit amorphous. So at the moment I'm making this ruling because I think that's the direction I'm told to go by the Ninth Circuit, to be especially protective in this realm, in this context. It doesn't mean that something couldn't change tomorrow and then this would be improvident or unnecessary. So this ruling is for today.

It's with respect to information that is out there in one form, not in another. It's not yet out in this form. So I'm protecting this form, not any other. It's not my job to protect any other. If anybody thinks that whatever the State court does, when they get around to ruling on a motion to seal or whatever, it's going to be as relevant, I'll be all ears. Either side. Okay. But for today's purposes, I think the

```
better result here is that I protect this information in this
1
 2
    form the way I think the Ninth Circuit told me to. Okay.
 3
    the motion is granted without -- if you want to just say, for
 4
    the reasons stated on the record, say so. All right.
 5
             MS. UETZ: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
             THE COURT: And by the way, and thank you to all of
 6
7
    you for your good arguments and for reminding me of -- and I
    don't mean to be flip by this, the passion behind the different
8
9
    positions here. I am enormously respectful of that. And there
    is no way to square some things in this case. There just
10
    isn't. So thank you, all of you, for your wonderful arguments
11
    and I look forward to many wonderful arguments from you in the
12
13
    future.
             Okay.?
14
             MS. UETZ: Thanks, Your Honor.
15
             THE COURT: Okay. You're welcome. All right.
16
    (Indiscernible). We're done. Okay. Thank you.
             (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION

I, Raven Wood, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Raven Wood

/s/ RAVEN WOOD

11 eScribers

12 7227 N. 16th Street, Suite #207

13 Phoenix, AZ 85020

15 Date: July 23, 2023

eScribers, LLC